

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1061 HB	Title: District judges, Skagit Cnty	Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	--	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Account	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
Counties					
Cities					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

STATE	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
State FTE Staff Years	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	114,685	114,685	229,370	229,370	229,370
State Subtotal \$	114,685	114,685	229,370	229,370	229,370
COUNTY	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties	78,182	78,182	156,364	156,364	156,364
Counties Subtotal \$	78,182	78,182	156,364	156,364	156,364
CITY	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					
Local Subtotal \$	78,182	78,182	156,364	156,364	156,364
Total Estimated Expenditures \$	192,867	192,867	385,734	385,734	385,734

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Omeara Harrington	Phone: 360-786-7136	Date: 01/07/2015
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 01/07/2015
Agency Approval: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 01/07/2015
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 1061 HB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill addresses RCW 3.34.010 and 2011 c 43 s 1. Current law allows two judges of the superior court in Skagit County. This bill would provide for an additional superior court judge only if the Skagit County legislative authority documents its approval and its agreement that it will pay the local costs of the expenses of the new position out of county funds as required by statute.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

There is no cash receipt impact

II. C - Expenditures

This bill would provide for one additional superior court judge for Skagit County only if the Skagit County legislative authority documents its approval and its agreement that it will pay the local costs of the expenses of the new position out of county funds.

State costs: Assuming the new judicial position would start on July 1, 2015, the state's portion of the salary and all of the benefits would be \$114,685 each fiscal year.

Local costs: The county share of the judge's salary would be \$78,181 per year. This does not include any capital costs for any new courtrooms or office space.

The state expense is half the salary and 100% of the benefits. A full FTE is appropriated to the state so full benefits will be covered even though local government pays for half the salaries.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<i>State</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Salaries and Wages	78,181	78,181	156,362	156,362	156,362
Employee Benefits	36,504	36,504	73,008	73,008	73,008
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services					
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$	114,685	114,685	229,370	229,370	229,370

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<i>County</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits	78,182	78,182	156,364	156,364	156,364
Capital					
Other					
Total \$	78,182	78,182	156,364	156,364	156,364

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

<i>City</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

III. D - FTE Detail

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
Superior Court Judge	156,363	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total FTE's	156,363	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

N/A